MORE **ABOUT** BRAINWASHING BY AN **EXPERT** POLITICAL ANALYST

By MYRON C. FAGAN

No. 102

## Published by

# CINEMA EDUCATIONAL GUILD, INC.

Organized to Combat Communism

MYRON C. FAGAN, NATIONAL DIRECTOR

# January-February, 1964 News-Bulletin

KNOW the TRUTH and the TRUTH shall make you FREE.

The most important thing for all of us to always remember is that the salvation of our Country depends upon getting the TRUTH of the GREAT CONSPIRACY to all of the American people. When—and if—that will be accomplished our nation will again be FREE—and SAFE. Our press won't do it—you know why—so it is up to YOU and me to do it.

ORDER FROM:

# **CPA BOOK PUBLISHER**

P. O. BOX 596 BORING, OR 97009

#### CONFIRMATION OF MY CHARGES

Almost immediately after we issued our "News-Bulletin" No. 101 a constantly increasing volume of letters began to hit my desk. Invariably, the letters from our (CEG) members heartily approved all of the contents in that issue, including our review of the assassination of Kennedy.

But our mail also included letters from non-members who apparently had read that "NewsBulletin" and found considerable fault with it. Some (not too many) resented my analysis of the assassination and my cynicism (that's the word they used) about the "martyrdom" and "saintliness" of Jack Kennedy. Well, that does not surprise me — indeed, I am surprised there are so few to thus express themselves.

But there was another kind of letter that reached me in greater volume — and that had to do with my charges in "News-Bulletins," Nos. 99 and 101 that our Press and other Mass Communications Media are deliberately betraying and brainwashing the American people. Many are quite willing to accept that the Film industry, TV and Radio are heavily larded with Reds, but they just cannot believe that our Press, including News-weeklies and other magazines, are deliberately collaborating with the ENEMY.

At first I was inclined to ignore all such letters, on the theory that inasmuch as those letter-writers brushed off all the documented proof in both of those "News-Bulletins" my responses would only heighten all of their already formulated opinions.

However, among those letter-writers there were Ministers and officials of civic organizations whose fault-findings were obviously sincere — they'd been so thoroughly brainwashed — and I decided that some of them could be salvaged. Not by anything that I could say, but by a straight-from-the-shoulder review of conditions in the Press by a highly reputable journalist who is helplessly forced to stand by and see the vile treachery of the supposedly reputable major newspapers that publish his columns — newspapers that reject or delete the truths in his columns. The following is his verbatum statement — undoubtedly you will understand his (natural) reluctance to reveal his identity. But I can assure you of his honesty and reliability.

## RIGHT FROM THE HORSE'S MOUTH

Because of the nature of this report, the name John Hancock is a pseudonym used by the writer to protect his identity and to pre-

vent reprisals from being visited upon him, mostly those that are economic in nature.

The fact he has chosen a pseudonym does not detract from the facts of reality that he is a nationally-known newspaperman whose writings are known from coast to coast; he is a recognized authority on Communistic tactics; he is a known authority on brainwashing methods, perhaps as authoritative as Edward Hunter, who already has published two books on the subject, and has lectured far and wide on the infiltration now taking place in our country.

The author is perhaps the first to expound the fact that the Communist Party has no intention of overthrowing the United States government, but rather has changed its strategy to taking over the governments of various countries.

In his lectures on the subject, he was among the first to attempt to show the change in strategy and the importance of knowing the semantic value of the two phrases, "overthrowing," which implies by force and violence, and "taking over," which implies infiltration into key positions to make possible the necessary changes in governmental structures to make a take-over possible.

He has been a champion of conservative principles for more than 25 years and is a keen "situation" analyst and student of political science.

## By JOHN HANCOCK

Events which are being shaped now for fruition during the next 10 months will mold our destiny for the next 500 years.

You either will live in a free Republic of the United States of America — or you will be a subject of a world organization in which America will be just one unit of many countries ruled by an International World Court.

Your future will be determined by your attitude — how seriously you take this report — and how seriously you begin to take a part in learning what is happening to America.

By now, President Johnson's first State of the Union message is familiar to everyone. Most of you who have analyzed it critically and impartially probably have been able to digest his comments — his outlandish promises — his double talk.

You probably have indigestion — and rightly so! . . . Now ask yourself, How can this happen in America? . . . What lies ahead in 1964?

If you do nothing, you face a total dictatorial power which will wipe out the 1964 presidential election — impose a complete lid of censorship under the guise of a 'stop-hate' campaign and face the prospects of arms registration which will lead to a complete and total disarming of all American citizens!

This observation is based on almost 40 years as a professional newspaperman; of observing the news developments which has brought us to the brink of a totalitarian government.

Startling, isn't it? . . . Cancel the 1964 Presidential election? ? ? . . . . Impose a complete censorship on America to prevent criticism of our government officials ? ? ? . . . Take away our right to bear arms as guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States of America ? ? ?

It is happening now! And if most of America does what it has been doing for the past 50 years, it will become a reality!

You have a right to know why the above statements were made. So, please, if you value your freedom and the freedom of your loved ones, have the patience to analyze this report carefully and then compare it to what has happened so far in our country.

Where does one begin to expose and explain the world's greatest hoax ever perpetrated by man — a hoax which had its beginning more than 50 years ago?

How can one describe or picture the greatest brainwashing in history on a scale of such magnitude that it is almost beyond the powers of the average mind to comprehend?

What can one say to alert his fellow citizens that he confronts the immediate danger of a totalitarian rule, the likes of which never before was experienced by man — a despotic rule which is strangling America under the guise of an humanitarian democracy?

How can one justify or rationalize the do-nothing attitude of the United States government — Bobby Kennedy in particular — following the assassination of the President of the United States by a confessed member of the Communist Party?

Was the assassination of President Kennedy a vital part of the conspiracy to transform America into a dictatorial country?

Why is it that an accused assassin is condemned before a trial and then his assassin is praised by many in the short space of time of just two days?

How can the mass media of newspapers, radio, television and magazines accept and place their stamp of approval on the second assassination with slanted and biased reporting?

How can news in these United States of America be manufactured and then managed to force upon America a socialistic government? . . . Has the entire world gone mad?

Or has the hoax — the brainwashing — been so successful as to bring about the beginning of this totalitarion rule without the average American being aware of it?

Questions . . . questions . . . questions!

Rightly so! And the longer the questions go unanswered, the more they will multiply — a truism which one recognizes easily in the rabbit kingdom.

The longer it takes us to realize this one startling fact, the more bewildered, confused and enslaved we Americans will become, until we will be unable to throw off the chains of slavery which are making us helpless.

Face it! We no longer live in a Republic! Theoretically, yes, America still is a Republic. But we now live in a democracy in which MOB RULE prevails!

Before you begin to shout a denial, lock about you — marches . . . sitins . . . threats of violence if a point is not conceded . . . disregard of the law by leftists who pose as Americans who remain free to disregard the law . . . blackmail . . . ransom — all condoned by the government and encouraged in some instances!

The time has come for all American citizens to recognize what is happening and what will happen in the next 10 months if the preceding questions are not answered.

If not, then we have no choice but to accept the following developments which are scheduled for the next 10 months:

- 1. The exercise of a censorship so binding that no one will be able to criticize the government. This will be accomplished behind the smoke-screen of a "stop hate" campaign.
- 2. The ultimate registration of all fire arms will be pushed upon America under the guise of a preventive measure to eliminate further assassinations. This will be followed by a recall of all weapons.
- 3. The elimination of the 1964 presidential election under the blanket of "in the interest of national safety and world peace" threatened by the "extremes" of the left and the right.

Here is your dictatorial and total rule!

And every American who does nothing or makes no attempt to find the answers to the questions posed in this report is just as guilty of establishing this totalitarian rule as the members of the conspiracy who ordered the assassination of President Kennedy to speed up the imposition of despotism in America!

But where do you start to find the answers?

Why not start from the point where we are now — see what is happening to our beloved country under the masquerade of humanitarianism — how hypocritical our officials are by saying one thing and then acting in the opposite way — how those who swear to uphold the Constitution of the United States are destroying it.

Ask yourself why the mass media of America, the news wire services, the newspapers, radio, television, magazines and the movies — instead of presenting the truth — are managing the news AFTER it is MANUFACTURED by the conspiracy . . .

Ask yourself why the movies, books and magazines are augmenting the mass media in helping to destroy every concept of decency we once held precious.

Is it a coincidence that national magazines, which must be prepared days in advance of their publications, feature the same attacks on conservative elements at the same time as do newspapers?

And is it a coincidence that all of the magazines — while they masquerade as competitors — feature the same line of attack in the same week's issues?

Above all, is it a coincidence that movies portray a plot similar to that attack simultaneously published by newspapers and magazines across the country? . . .

How can all this be synchronized across the country when the various media are supposed to be competitors?

There is only one answer: Centralization of media control!

This is why it is possible for centralization of government to be imposed on the American people — again under the guise of humanitarianism.

This is why American schools now are centralized under the Communistdominated UNESCO, the "United Nations Educational, Cultural and Scientific Organization."

And this is why an attack now is being launched to impose this same control over all private and parochial schools under the masquerade of Federal Aid to Education which would impose a central power, UNESCO, on all education in America.

This is why the late President Kennedy and our State Department, helped by our national press, radio and television, were able to convince the American public that the Diem government in South Vietnam was bad and guilty of persecuting Buddhists.

You know what happened. The Diem government was overthrown and the Diem brothers were murdered because our State Department and President Kennedy gave the Communists, who took over, the needed assistance it had to have to succeed in the coup.

If nothing else convinces you of the scope of the brainwashing the American public has been subjected to, this dastardly event of history should drive the point home.

Remember how Madame Ngo Dinh Nhu protested and shouted how her husband and her brother-in-law, Ngo Dinh Diem, were double-crossed by President Kennedy? . . . Remember how she claimed America was responsible for the murder of her husband and destroying her country?

What was the reaction of many Americans — and the nation's press? . . . that Madame Nhu was an hysterical woman who did not know what she was talking about . . . but less than two months later the truth is made known!

America was guilty just as Madame Nhu charged. President Kennedy and the State Department DID knowingly aid and abet the Communists to murder Madame Nhu's husband and destroy her country.

William F. Buckley, Jr., publisher of the National Review magazine and a newspaper columnist, exposed the truth in his column published in mid-January.

He disclosed that the United Nations Fact-Finding Mission sent to South Vietnam to investigate the charge of religious persecution proved without a shadow of a doubt the charge was not true.

The mission disclosed the religious persecution charge was manufactured to smokescreen the real political purpose to destroy the Diem government which was pro-American.

Buckley also disclosed the General Assembly of the UN told the committee its report will not be needed now in the light of what happened in South Viet Nam (the destruction of Diem's regime).

A nation-wide newspaper chain almost immediately admitted the relegious persecution charge was manufactured and, in a half-hearted way, urged Congress to investigate the matter.

Unfortunately, the Diem officials never did get an opportunity to testify before this fact-finding committee. The two brothers were murdered the day they were to testify.

Raises an interesting question, doesn't it? Were the two brothers murdered to keep them from testifying? It must have been so because the timing of the coup was way out of bounds and was not supposed to take place while Madame Nhu was in America.

This incident also makes one wonder in an entirely different direction.

Was the Law of Cause and Effect, the Law of Life — "As ye sow, so shall ye reap" — placed into action here?

Is this why President Kennedy fell victim himself shortly after the Diem Brothers were reported murdered?

If you recall, President Kennedy held no sympathy for Madame Nhu in her hour of deepest sorrow . . . And most of America was brainwashed into believing he was right!

This is why a man like Dr. Martin Luther King, who is a known member of the Communist Party, can wield his influence and create national emergencies yet not be exposed by the press, radio or television across the country.

This is why Senators like Joe Clark of Pennsylvania and William Fulbright of Arkansas can openly advocate the elimination of the Congress of the United States and nothing is done about it.

This is why movies like "Seven Days in May" can slander one of America's greatest heroes, Gen. Edwin Walker, and paint him as a fanatic and a danger to a democracy and draw applause from the brainwashed idiots in movie houses across the country.

This is why a President of the United States can help other leading citizens to pay a kidnapper an enormous ransom and the news-papers do nothing about exposing this blackmail.

This is why this same President can negotiate a treaty with an enemy — again under the guise of humanitarianism — and force us to accept this enemy as an ally (more on this later).

And this is why this same President of the United States, who did not perform a single, solitary act for the good and welfare of the United States can be raised almost to sainthood after he is assassinated. And, ironically, this feat of brainwashing was accomplished by the same group which ruled he was expendable!

This, too, is why the same group of people who shout for civil rights and demand it for their members create incidents which are designed to eliminate all rights of those who insist on upholding the constitutional rights of all citizens . . . Again, how is all this possible in America?

Before going back to the three steps mentioned earlier which are scheduled to materialize during the next 10 months, four very important events must be discussed. They are:

1) The signing of the test ban treaty with Russia . . . 2) The open attack on the Congress of the United States . . . 3) The fact President Kennedy did not want to make the trip to Dallas . . . 4) The naming of Chief Justice

Earl Warren as chairman of the committee to investigate the shooting of President Kennedy.

These are four signposts which clearly point the way for the transformation of America from a Republic to a dictatorship. In an examination of each point separately, we find:

"America is drifting towards a totalitarian rule from which there will be no escape for at least 500 to 1000 years."

How can an analysis justify this statement?

Starting with the test ban treaty, we now are signed allies with a country which always has been dedicated to our total destruction.

Communism is not divisible. Communism is a way of life in which the individual is completely subordinated to the best interest of the "party," first, last, and always. There is no deviation!

To make it possible for Americans to even contemplate a treaty with Russia, let alone sanction one, it was imperative to brainwash the American public into accepting a new version of the Communist Party. It had to be prescribed in a more democratic light. However, the "party" itself never changed. It still is as dogmatic, as totalitarian as it was under Lenin and Stalin . . . But Americans had to be brainwashed into believing Russia now has a democracy . . . How could this be accomplished?

Splits had to be created. Khrushchev had to be painted as a benevolent protector of the masses. Communism had to be made acceptable.

All this was done by the American press, Radio and TV by manufacturing first the splits between Yugoslavia and Russia, between Albania and Russia, between China and Russia... There is a difference between Communism in Russia and in the other countries, we were told day after day after day.

Yet, while all this was going on, Tito and Khrushchev met almost weekly to plan their moves. The same is true of the other two countries. Khrushchev never relinquished control!

In Russia, Stalin's memory was assassinated to make one believe Russia was changing. Yet, while Khrushchev was being painted as a benevolent protector, none of this wiped out the fact he is guilty of as many murders, in the millions, as was Stalin. The butcher still is a butcher!

Because Communism is not compatible with our Republic and government by elected officials, Russia's form of Communism was made to appear democratic. The truth of the matter, however, is that there exists no freedom in Russia and there never will under Communism.

But our American news media, helped by our elected officials and their

appointed aides, brainwashed the American public into believing the opposite was true; Communism is democratic, they insist.

The biggest offender was President Kennedy, who must have known that his every act of aiding the enemy was treasonable. But he was helpless because those who operate our "invisible government" through the establishment (CFR) ORDERED him to do so.

In early summer of 1963 Kennedy was given orders by two of his aides, Rostow and Bundy, that he had to do all in his power to: 1) Stop free and patriotic persons in any part of the world from opposing Communism, and . . . 2) Do all in his power to help Communist governments and those friendly to Communism to survive in their respective countries.

This cannot be denied because the orders were intercepted by a Congressman of national repute, one whose statements cannot be questioned.

Now, after the way had been prepared by the news media and government officials and most Americans actually believed there was a split in the Communist Party and Russia was democratic, you began hearing about the test ban treaty. But not before!

That it was adopted by America is a striking testimonial to the brain-washing which the news media had accomplished — first by MANUFAC-TURING the splits and differences in Communism and then by managing the news so that every major newspaper across the country and all magazines carried on the brainwashing simultaneously.

The sad part about the entire mess is that all we succeeded in doing was to make these four points possible.

1) Russia now is our ally . . . 2) Peaceful co-existence now is mandatory . . . 3) The door has been pried open to establish an international military force once ours is reduced to ineffectiveness . . . 4) The way has been paved to admit Red China officially into all negotiations conducted by the United Nations.

If you still have a copy of President Kennedy's test ban treaty address, the above four points become obvious to you. He made them all, although not in so many words.

In recent months, the stark truth was evident in almost any newspaper one reads. It is in the wheat deals with Russia; it is in the mad scramble by western powers to bail Russia out of its economic collapse which is threatening the country.

Perhaps the most vivid example of forcing this peaceful co-existence on the free world was the "Hole in the wall" episode in Berlin during the Christmas Holidays. Permission for Westerners to visit friends or relatives in East Berlin was manufactured by Russia purely as a propaganda vehicle. The hole was opened in the wall to help sell the "change" in attitude of Russian Communists. Instead of it being a "gesture of friendship," it was purely a cold, calculated move by Russia to give our propaganda experts in America another reason to sell you the change which never took place in the first place.

If Khrushchev and the Russian brand of Communism has changed, then the hole in the wall would not have been needed. There would be no wall there now!

There can be no change in Communism as long as the wall remains; as long as Russia enslaves its peoples; as long as other countries are held in the vice grip of Communism which strangles freedom!

The December 30th issue of U. S. News and World Report, in its "World-gram" column, boldly stated that peaceful coexistence with Russia "NOW IS THOUGHT TO BE NOT SUCH A BAD IDEA".

In the same column, it points out that "U. S. officials talk hopefully of changes likely someday in Red China." . . . Why not? These same officials made you believe there were changes in Russia . . . !

And what about the wheat deal? Was it negotiated to help feed the starving Russians? Our officials told us that!

But could the wheat be consigned to Russia to give Russia a larger supply of wheat for alcohol from which rocket fuel is made?

Ask a scientist, if you know one. Alcohol made from wheat is BEING USED by the Russians for Rocket fuel. Ask yourself now if you are feeding starving Russians — or helping the Godless Communists to force you into slavery!

And while you are at it, ask your elected government officials, President Johnson included, why so many of our armed forces istallations are being closed and wiped out of existence if it isn't to weaken our defense to such a point that an international armed force becomes possible... Check how Kennedy reduced our armed might. Watch how far President Johnson goes to further make our military helpless.

And with you being made to believe NOW that changes are coming up in Red China, the fourth point made possible by the test ban treaty then becomes a reality.

In just a matter of a few months, if it doesn't happen while this report is in the progress of being made available for you, a Moscow airline will be given permission to land in New York . . . This is just another step in forcing every American to accept peaceful co-existence with Russia — and gives Russia an open door to infiltrate our country faster than it

does now with the so-called cultural programs.

In reality, the opposite should be taking place. We should be strengthening our armed forces rather than weakening them; we should sever relations with Russia rather than making the Reds our ally; we should be helping to destroy Communism instead of making it possible for Communism to survive until it destroys us.

But enough of that for now. Let's move on to No. 2, the open attacks on our Congress.

When a Senator takes his seat, he takes an oath of office in which he promises to uphold the Constitution of the United States.

Senator Joe Clark of Pennsylvania presumably did so. And so did Senator William Fulbright of Arkansas. At least, all of us have the right to find out if they did! . . . If they did, then both are guilty of treason to the very cause they swore to uphold and defend!

Both Senators, in a report issued by the Fund for the Republic, an organization out of Santa Barbara, California, which is supported by wealthy taxfree foundations, advocated the destruction of the Congress of the United States and placing all of the power in the hands of the president... How is this possible in America and no action taken against these two traitors?

Most of the nationally-syndicated columnists, paced by Doris Fleeson of the United Features Syndicate, have been advocating the same thing strongly for more than two years now.

It started out gradually, to be sure, with such sneaky remarks as a "do nothing" Congress, a "worthless Congress", a "senile, oldman's retreat," and a Congress which follows the worthless practice of naming old men to head committees instead of adopting the procedure of naming the new and inexperienced members.

Former Presidents also joined the act, headed by Harry S. Truman. Kennedy also was guilty of placing "worthless" labels on Congress.

In every attempt, which has been stepped up during the past two years, we have been told our progress would be better and faster if we by-passed Congress and allowed the use of more and more executive decrees.

By any name, this is dictatorship! This is one-man rule!

In their joint report entitled "Elite versus Electorate" issued by the Fund for the Republic in late summer of last year, Senators Clark and Fulbright advocated the elimination of Congress on the grounds:

"The masses do not know what is good for them. Therefore, the masses need an elite to govern for them. However, under our system of checks and balances, this rule is impossible."

This sound familiar?

The sad part about this is that if you Americans do nothing about this, Senators Clark and Fulbright ARE right. You do not know what is good for you and you do need an elite (a Godless one at that) to tell you what you can do and cannot do!

Their report was issued in 1963 and published in some papers, but no protests were lodged! What are you waiting for?

Just a day after Christmas the newspapers of the country carried a news story in which Truman blasted Congress as "hopeless" and praised Kennedy as doing a "good job."

Let's look at the records:

In the December 30 issue of "U.S. News and World Report," in a summation of the 88th Congress of 1963, we find:

1) Ten major accomplishments were recorded by Congress, which included cuts of about six billion dollars from requested appropriations . . . 2) Blocked were 14 attempts proposed by President Kennedy, 13 of which definitely are socialistic measures without question.

Now you see why Congress is being labeled worthless and why attempts are being made to do away with Congress.

If it were not for Congress, you would be living in a socialistic democracy now — a socialistic democracy in which the elite, who have no regard for morals or decency, would be governing unchecked!

Moving on to No. 3 — why Kennedy did not want to make the Dallas trip, but was forced to do so by "the Establishment."

Two weeks prior to the trip, Neiman Marcus of the famous Dallas store wrote to then Vice President Johnson and told him of the plot to assassinate President Kennedy on the trip. It is a known fact Johnson relayed the information to Kennedy. Kennedy attempted to cancel the trip, but was forced to carry out the plans.

One report released shortly after the assassination, November 22, 1963, said that Kennedy just laughed off the threat. This is not true. A reliable source close to the President let it be known that Kenndey had no choice in the matter. He had to go to Dallas!

Why was Dallas chosen as the scene of the assassination? . . . Why was the accused assassin a member of the Communist Party? . . . Why is he being labeled a Marxist instead of a Communist? . . . Why was the accused assassin shot in the Dallas Police Station? . . . Why was he being held by the city police instead of Federal authorities when he killed the President of the United States? . . . And why is every attempt being made

to justify the shooting of the suspected assassin to make it possible for the second assassin, Jack Rubenstein, to escape the death penalty? . . . Why was the official report issued to stamp Lee Oswald as guilty even before his trial was held? . . . And, at the outset, why were there so many conflicting reports issued by the American press, a press which boasts of being so efficient and competent that its findings are unquestionable?

Is it possible for such a competent force to become so incompetent in a matter of hours that it can excuse its contradictions as confusion brought about by the shooting of a President?

Did the selection of Dallas have anything to do with the fact that that city is the home city of Gen. Walker and the hotbed of patriotic conservatism?

In the face of all this, it would not be presumptious if one's analysis of the event followed this line: . . . 1) President Kennedy was worth more dead than alive as a martyr . . . 2) His death could be used as an instrument to silence the opposition . . . 3) His death could be used to force through Congress all of the socialistic measures which he could not get adopted while alive.

(Note:—See the 13 socialistic measures defeated by Congress last year as reported in the December 30 issue of the U. S. News and World Report)

This becomes more evident when we become aware of the fact that up to this point of writing in mid-January, Attorney General Bobby Kennedy, Senator Ted Kennedy and Joe Kennedy, the father of the slain President, have not uttered one word — or one opinion!

In any murder, members of the family are quoted in most every newspaper carrying accounts of the murder. This has not been true in the murder of President Kennedy . . . Have the surviving Kennedys been ordered to keep quiet? . . . One can't help but wonder about this!

And now for No. 4, the naming of Chief Justice Warren to head the committee to investigate the shooting of Kennedy.

Is this necessary? Is the Federal Bureau of Investigation so overworked that it was not able to carry out the investigation on its own? . . . Or was it because the FBI could not be trusted to bring in a finding which would suit the purpose of the Establishment?

And if there is a conflicting report between the findings of the FBI and the Warren Committee, which will supercede?

And because the complete autopsy report still has not been given to the

public, does this mean that it never will be told exactly what happened?

Or was the Warren Committee created specifically to add more confusion to the already confused circumstances behind the shooting of President Kennedy? Or to be used later to force arms registration on the American citizens?

Why was Warren named to head the committee? . . . After protecting the Communists with his Supreme Court decisions, do you believe it possible that he will do a right-about-face now and rule against the Communists?

Always remember it was an avowed member of the Communist Party who was accused of killing the President, but was not allowed to stand trial!

As you know, there was an abortive attempt made to blame the assassination on the conservative element in America.

But fortunately for America, Lee Oswald was apprehended after he unfortunately added another death to his string, a Dallas policeman. This put a stop to the attempt to blame the conservatives — A movement which started in Russia (immediately after our own USIA made the statement) and spread to America within minutes.

In fact, Justice Warren also was guilty of attempting to place the blame on the conservatives. Now he heads the investigating committee.

Will he still try to pin the shooting on the conservatives now that the FBI report states Oswald operated as an independent agent in the shooting?

. . Will the Warren Committee attempt to tie Oswald in with the conservatives with imaginary insinuations so characteristic of the liberals who control America?

The probability is that the committee will — if you Americans do not take immediate action . .!

What's all this leading to?

A careful analysis of the summation of events so far will lead to the following conclusion:

The three-pronged prediction of what's ahead for the next 10 months now take on a definite meaning!

And by watching the management of the manufactured news in the Mass Media, you will note:

Points one and two already have been launched . . . Point Three will be carried out if the first two are successful.

Let's start with Point one - the "stop hate" campaign.

Evidence of this is seen daily in the newspapers and on radio and TV in

the number of times and how the word "hate" is employed.

During the so-called 30 day mourning period in which a political moritorium was declared, not one liberal writer or broadcaster let a day go by without warning his readers or listeners that all "Hate" must be stopped in America.

In his first "State of the Union" address to the nation, President Johnson sounded the keynote for the "stop hate" campaign. Analyse his message and then compare it to those who receive his support and the conclusion is obvious.

Visit any movie house and you will get the message on "hate."

Follow the events taking place out in California in which every conceivable trick is being used to prevent a fair and just provision of the Constitution of the United States from being exercised. This is the attempt to initiate a referendum to give the voters an opportunity to vote on the so-called Rumford Fair-Housing law. The constitution provides for a redress — if the voters believe their elected representatives voted in an unjust law. The California Real Estate Association believes this happend when the law was passed which takes away the rights of a property owner to manage his property.

In order to stop petitions from being signed to initiate the referendum on the November ballot, this has been reported in the Golden State:

- A councilman of the City of Los Angeles, Billy Mills by name and a Negro by race, has been quoted as saying if the petition attempt isn't dropped, it will create hatred and possible bloodshed.
- 2. The California Newspapers reported Gov. Brown said if the California Real Estate Association does not drop its attempt for the initiative, the association could be eliminated.
- 3. Top Democrat Jess Unruh, despotic ruler in the state assembly, blatantly announced if he had the nerve he would do away with the provisions of the referendum measure.

In studying the three points, one is led to believe "hate" can be created if one group attempts to exercise its right under the Constitution of the United States; the governor can threaten the extinction of anyone who opposes him; and another elected official would eliminate opposition by doing away with constitutional rights.

A simple fact now is becoming obvious: If you oppose those in power, you belong to a "hate group!"

Carrying this a step further, to stop the so-called "hate" in America, all opposition to government officials must be stopped.

This is censorship — ironclad censorship — which is the second step necessary to complete and total control. The first, naturally, is full control of the news media, which has been established.

Now, let's examine the second prediction - registration of arms:

About a year prior to the assassination of President Kennedy a campaign was launched to force arms registration in America... the excuse used was to help law enforcement officials to control the firing power of known criminals.

Little had been done along this line prior to Kennedy's death except to plant the necessary propaganda seeds in our nation's press and on the airlanes. Sen. Thomas Dodd proposed a bill, innocent in itself, to regulate the sale of arms by mail.

Then came the assassination last November 22. Immediately the clamor arose to revive the interest in arms registration. Watch your daily papers and see how much emphasis is being placed on this facet — the third needed before total dictatorship can be imposed.

Does any American believe a known criminal will register his firearms if such a regulation was put into force? Only an infant could be so naive. The promulgators of the movement know this, but they are relying on their brainwashing methods to pull the wool over the eyes of the average American.

What the advocates of this measure never tell you is that once such a bill is passed, the President, under decrees now in his hands, could force every American to turn in his firearms under the guise of "national interest and defense."

Will the criminals turn in their arms? Or will they renege and then force every homeowner to undergo a wave of robberies the likes of which this country has never seen?

But you will be told in the days ahead, because the President was shot and killed, America must have an arms control act. Step three on the way to total dictatorship.

And now what about the third point — the elimination of the presidential election in November of this year?

President Johnson has the decree now to do so, if he so chooses!

Signs point to this direction now — providing the first two points are successful — stopping "hate" and registering firearms.

One indication is the attempt by the liberals to pit Republican against Republican and divide and so confuse members of the party to such an extent there will be "open warfare" not only among the GOP candidates,

but also the members and various factions of the party.

This will give the liberals added reasons to strengthen their "stop hate" campaign. And if necessary, more shootings will be "arranged" to strengthen the claim for the need of arms control.

Why are the liberals so interested in choosing a Republican candidate? They are not Republicans . . .! The answer is obvious — there is no choice to be made for the Democratic nomination — President Johnson is the candidate to succeed himself.

So the liberal writers, acting upon instructions from "The Establishment," drag in name after name for the Republican nomination. This gives them a "reason" to create more "hate" and stir up more trouble among the minorities by labeling one a bigger or a smaller bogeyman than the other.

And before the average American is aware of what is happening, "hate" and more "hate" fills the air — flamed and fanned by the very persons who insist all "hate" must be ended.

And all the while, attacks on Congress continue; crisis after crisis is created in America as well as on an international scale, such as the recent Panama Canal episode; more and more violence becomes the order of the day until the day arrives when President Johnson exercises his executive decree and postpones the presidential election "in the interest of national safety" until peace is restored!

What can you - the average American do about this?

Recall President Johnson's first "State of the Union" message. If you saw it on TV, then this will be more apparent to you:

The image he attempted to portray was that of a benevolent, loving, all-knowing, all-protective father. "Come unto me all ye who suffer."

Your first task is not to swallow this image. President Johnson, like all other presidents, is the "yes" man for "The Establishment." His job is to foster a socialistic government onto us from which will come the dictatorship.

Your second task is to study this analysis thoroughly. Read it over and over again — then compare it with what is happenig.

Your third is the most difficult.

Let President Johnson, your congressman and your senator know you will not put up with the "stop hate" campaign to impose censorship upon yourself. Write to them and be emphatic. Tell them that in spite of all opposition from them you will insist on criticizing every move by your elected officials which you believe is contrary to the welfare of the Republic of the United States.

Let your newspaper editor and managers of radio and TV stations know

that you, too, can use the threat of an economic boycott, just like the Civil Rights groups do, if they do not return to the honest news reporting upon which the integrity of the newspapers was founded.

Then be emphatic with your economic boycott!

Demand that the Communist, Dr. Martin Luther King, be silenced in his threats and official sanction for his hatemongering be ended. Demand that mob rule, such as forced the Mummers in Philadelphia to break a 50-year tradition because threat of violence was used, be ended in America.

Tell your elected officials and heads of news media you will not give up constitutional rights just because the liberals can shout out threats in a louder voice. Let them know if mob rule will be the order of the day, then you, too, can organize a mob strong enough and powerful enough to drown out the liberals.

You can do this because you have almost two hundred years of tradition behind you — a tradition which established this country "under God" as a country of freedom and liberty.

And if one of them attempts to give you PROGRESSIVE legislation or PROGRESSIVE ANYTHING in place of tradition — then you know he is your enemy and you must treat him accordingly — a "stop hate" campaign not-withstanding . . . . ! it is either this — or total dictatorship!

At the outset of this issue I expressed my opinion of the journalistic integrity and the political wisdom of the author of the above. Now I not only reaffirm that opinion, but I urge everyone who loves our country to again read this analysis, study it, and BE-LIEVE it as I do. Even more, I earnestly urge that you get it to the attention of every loyal American, man and woman, in your community. It will fully confirm every warning I issued from time to time in all of our "News-Bulletins." It will make all of them realize that the heritage handed down to us by our Founding Fathers is in grave danger — that it can be preserved only if ALL of us fight for its preservation . . . The salvation of our Country and the preservation of Freedom for your children and their children depends upon YOU and YOU and YOU!

#### A VOICE IN THE WILDERNESS

In the outset of this "News-Bulletin" I also mentioned the avalanche of angry letters from those who scold me — vilify is the more proper word in some cases — for my refusal to concede that John F. Kennedy is a "martyr" and a "saint." They particularly resent my statements in "News-Bulletin" No. 101, which bluntly

charged Kennedy with having been a dishonest and utterly unscrupulous political charlatan.

At first I was inclined to ignore all such letters, recognizing that most of them came from "Liberals," Leftists, One-Worlders, etc., who fully endorsed all of Kennedy's chicaneries and betrayals. But then I began to realize that some of these letter-writers are wellmeaning and sincere — that they have been deluded into believing that John F. Kennedy truly was a God-Man . . . just as many wellmeaning people once believed that Franklin Roosevelt was a great humanitarian and God-Man. I recall their bitter disillusionment when the true story of Roosevelt's betravals and outright treason became known. And I recall how those same well-meaning people wailed: "Why didn't somebody tell us?" But, as a matter of fact, there were quite a few "somebodys" who tried to "tell" them, among them John T. Flynn, a journalist and political analyst of great integrity, but in those early days the vast majority of our people, brainwashed by the Press, refused to believe them . . . just as many today refuse to believe the true story of John F. Kennedy. Just the same, I decided to at least try to disillusion some of the Kennedy worshippers. Ordinarily, I would have passed up such a "try," because, inasmuch as these letterwriters rejected the opinions I expressed in the "News-Bulletin," I realize that anything I, personally, say would in all probability meet with the same rejections. But two or three weeks after the assassination I came into possession of a (copy) letter written by a man whose sincerity cannot be challenged. This man is a doctor, one of the foremost surgeons in Southern California, if not in the nation. I know that this man has no personal axe to grind in the matter. He holds no partison political views. Moreover, he is a Catholic, and in 1960 took great pride in the fact that a co-religionist was to occupy the White House. Mind you, he was fully aware of the background of Jack Kennedy and of all the Kennedys, but he hoped that Jack, having attained the highest office in the Land, would be true to his oath. But as the months and the years went by, he realized that, just as a Leopard cannot change his spots, a crooked politician cannot change his character and proneness to crookedness.

The letter I mentioned was written to his sister, a nun. Whatever his reason for writing such a letter, it was so crystal clear in its analysis of the assassination and how it affected the people that I am sure even those of us who have never been deluded by the brainwashing processes will find in it a complete answer to the emotionalism that gripped the nation during the weeks that followed the assassination . . . his letter follows.

Dear Mar:-Some reflections on the assassination of our President: I feel that we have all just witnessed the greatest mass mesmerization known to mankind. True, the average American is not only capricious, but overwhelmingly lugubrious. However, the response of our country, and the world for that matter, I feel, represents something much more: that of mass brain-washing. To be sure, the assassination of JFK was a national tragedy, a tragedy without equal in that the President of the United States was assassinated. This I feel is the entire point. But this point has been relegated to obscurity and in its place an image, an etherial one prior to the act, but one which now is molded out of steel. No one, but no one, was spared from the brain-washing. The modalities were clear even to the most unsophisticated observer, but after one has been brain-washed it is near impossible to have any type of an objective view as to what had transpired or any insight as to what the rationale might have been. After having freed myself from the emotional trauma, which I am certain engulfed everyone, I was, as the hours trickled by, more and more startled by the profound eulogies which were growing in unbelievable arithmetic progression. The beautiful blend of empathy with horrible fact, the unbelievable mass of misinformation extolled by not only commentators but clergy as well, was, I feel, shockingly disgraceful. Not only because of these inaccurate comments themselves but rather the long term effect of what and how these comments came into being and, of course, the results that it would have upon the millions of people avidly grasping and savoring every bit of information that could be gleaned whether it be accurate, blatant lie, or the figment of an imaginative mind. I thought once during the eulogization about the corollary of an narcotic addict who once he starts must have more and more in order to keep going. On Sunday evening the entire communication system here in this country was devoted to one cause and one cause only, and, needless to say, by this time not only my stomach was at capacity but also my cerebrum. I refused to read a newspaper, listen to the radio, turn TV on, and decided to review these happenings over the past couple of weeks for fear that I had been unaware of the overwhelming devotion and greatness our President had possessed. I selected three news magazines, two of which to be sure lean more in the direction of left of center than right of center and one which is fairly accurate as a news media, that of US News and World Report. The former two were Time and Newsweek. Both of these were dated November 18 thru the 22, so all had been published prior to the assassination. I circled a vast majority of comments with regard to the President, his position here in the United States and on foreign policy. The criticism was almost horrendous. Overseas at least three heads of state had criticized him directly and our policy with regards to our most present plans for Alliance for Progress, but the greatest criticism came just as the greatest eulogistic acclaim came, from these United States. In Time Magazine, a survey was done among five representative type colleges from the ultra-liberal Reed College to the alleged ultra-conservative Catholic college, the name of which escapes me

at the moment. All of these students had absolutely nothing kind to say about Mr. Kennedy, that he represented a "Meatball," was a miserable president, gave us no guidance, and was rapidly leading this nation to destruction. The comments from all four colleges, allegedly representative of the youthful political spectrum, was unanimous in its appraisal. I continued to glance through other parts of the magazine and in like fashion picked up further bits of information which essentially were off the cuff comments about the total inadequacy of this man. One comment, somewhat in the form of an editorial, discussed his complete inability to be regarded by Congress as anything more than a strong arm threat. Statistically speaking, it stated that less than five percent, yes FIVE percent, of the bills suggested by Mr. Kennedy, many of which were violently pushed, were either rejected, tabled, or discarded from the onset. The usual complaints so well known to all of us were not even mentioned, i.e., the Bay of Pigs, the Berlin Wall, Viet-Nam murders, and the "magnificent" status of the Gold Supply. The Viet-Namese situation presents another entire interesting horrifying tale, comparable in some aspects to Katanga. It has been well authenticated, although to find this in the various controlled news media, is still somewhat difficult, however, even the Los Angeles Times parenthetically admitted that the United States, regardless of claims from Washington, was directly associated with the assassination in Viet-Nam. I personally feel that a more competent statesman was assassinated, or should I say brutally murdered, in Viet-Nam than here. In any event, I have preserved these magazines and will have them typed out some day with direct quotes and an interestingly correlative study. Mind you, we have said up to this point nothing with regards to the man's personal life, which I feel should certainly be left out of national and international politics. But when I hear a man of the cloth, thank God he was a protestant, come forth in eulogy, stating that JFK's strongest points were his love and devotion for family, his constant adoration for his wife, his incessant desires to be with her always, and his fine family moral character, this being broadcast over NBC, the shock was more than incredulous. Many other ideas have skirted through my mind, particularly with respect to what I have termed frenzied, postumus idolatry. This is to be expected I suppose, particularly in our present national climate. The modality of all this is somewhat understandable as is the ideology. Where we go from here I do not know. I suspect most of our present emotional behavior patterns began in an organized form about the time that Lord Keynes and Mr. Counts set up their very purposeful blueprint for long-pull socialism. The progression of government production line has grown in strength from the packed Supreme Court of FDR to the packed court and cabinet of JFK.

Let us for a moment look at some of Mr. Kennedy's recent coups of East Asia. After the expenditure of probably over two billion dollars in South Viet-Nam, coupled with the placement of American men with arms along with much talk and little action, Mr. Kennedy found himself, as usual, fighting the anti-Communists; this whole result is equally ludicrous in Sai-

gon. The policies in Southeast Asia were at best totally confusing, not only to the public, but I am equally sure to the administration as well. Each morning you would find the policies of that day refuting the previous day's policies which, in turn, had done a complete turnabout on the policy proclaimed the week before; 2: Very little had been said recently about the Southeast Asia Treaty Organization. It appears to me it is dead. It has recently been reported that Pakistan and the Philippine Republic is turning from a pro-Western position toward Sukarno's anti-Western neutrality. Pakistan, as you will recall, was recently the recipiant of a couple of billion of U.S. Dollars and at the present time, is negotiating in friendly terms with Peiping. 3: Let us look at the Latin American block, which for so many years stood firmly together and allied itself with the United States. As James Burnham recently elucidated so clearly the votes over the past two years in the UN and the OAS reflect the political reality: that Latin American block is shattered; Cuba is an outright enemy beachhead which the Kennedy administration has proved unable to dislodge, contain or weaken. British Guinea, Venezuela, Colombia, Guatemala, Nicaraga are under siege, and recently we have seen that Venezuela, after photographing and proving conclusively that Cuba has been sending arms into Venezuela, the United States is finally deciding to take action—that is, investigate the situation; that is only after the CIA had allegedly made reports similar to this for the past six months. It is also interesting to note that Mexico has refused to join in the investigation. It appears to me that an Einsteinian cerebrum is not necessary to comprehend this most recent Mexican declaration. Other failures, which made themselves very evident in the past few months of Mr. Kennedy's reign include NATO, the structural foundation of Western power, which is cracking in a dozen places. The President's plan for a NATO nuclear force was vetoed by all parties. Western German morale continues to sag as the Moscow negotiations suggest United States legitimation of the status quo in Berlin and East Germany, The Treaty of Moscow has been likened to a revival of the Yalta strategy, which obviously never has been totally absent from United States policy amalgam, the strategy that sees a Soviet-American deal with Britain tagging along, as the key to a workable world order. Of course, the Yalta strategy is incompatible with Western strategy expressed so lucidly in NATO, which implies the defense of the West as a whole against the threat of Soviet Union and the Communist Enterprise. If Washington deserts the West why should the West follow Washington. If the United States has become the leading neutralist why should the nations of the third world hold back from neutralism. This will be reviewed with keen interest over the next few weeks to see what the position of the United States will be with the regards to the violation of the Rio Treaty of Cuba. For now, the United States has every right and authority along with Latin American backing to accomplish something definitively in the Cuban crisis. Well Mar, I seem to have wandered off the general idea of my thoughts regarding the purposes of this letter.

With regards to Mr. Johnson, I honestly believe that at this present mo-

ment nobody can be absolutely certain as to what any of his policies will be, as it must be obvious to you; here again, due to the massive brainwashing phenomenon that has occured, Johnson has no other choice except to vehemently back everyone of Mr. Kennedy's policies, even to be so inarticulate and unreasonable as to suggest, "In honor of our glorious President let us expeditiously pass this i.e., civil rights, medical health, etc." He may feel that these programs should be passed, but to pass them in honor of Kennedy is, as I am sure you can fully appreciate, totally irrational. If they are to be passed at all, they should be passed on their own individual merit. I suspect that during his one year tenure you will find that he will drift further and further away from the previous three years of established practices; however, the whole answer I feel will result from how much pressure will be brought upon him by the liberal faction and the strategy of what he can do to ensure himself of being elected. I think we must assume, without question, that he will be the Democratic candidate. This, of course, brings up another question: what has happened. therefore, to the Republican candidates? The answer to this problem will depend to an extent upon Mr. Johnson's policies. If he continues to pursue with any degree of sincerity Mr. Kennedy's programs I should think Mr. Goldwater will retain his present popularity. If, on the other hand, Mr. Johnson reverts back to his "more conservative type policies," which he allegedly possessed some fifteen or twenty years ago, I should think that Mr. Goldwater's possibilities would be decidedly lessened and the Republican party may decide to "heaven forbid" elect somebody of Rockefeller's (caliber?). This, of course, would be to appeal to the big city liberal votes and the, of course, to ever-present, he who never stopped running, "would you buy a used car from this man" Nixon, is bound to come into the fore again. It seems that habit can love a loser; just as the Democratic party seemed to love Mr. Stevenson, it seems that the Republican party has yet to rid itself of Mr. Nixon. I think that without too much question, Mr. Nixon, Mr. Romney, and Mr. Scranton are, at least for the forthcoming nominations, out of contention. So there you have it. A lot of talk, no particular solutions, except that I pray at least you do not fall into the category of the massive naivete which has permeated this country, the great multitudes of do-gooders without thought, indolent welfare-staters without reason or realization of what tomorrow may bring, and the hard-core group of intellectual socialists whose blue-print for the obvious impossible world unity will, I feel, constantly be a threat. It is so very easy, as H. L. Menckin once said, "For the American public to be impressed with the fact that it can read," and alluding to that fact that therefore everything that one reads is gospel. I think it may also have been said "A gambler would never go broke underestimating the average American voter" or something to that effect. Do have a wonderful and fulfilling advent and I hope to be talking to you on Christmas day.

Whenever you hear any wailing about the martyrdom of John F. Kennedy, I urge you to show the above letter to the wailers. MCF.

ORDER FROM:

# **CPA BOOK PUBLISHER**

P. O. BOX 596 BORING, OR 97009